

**Kingdom Arts and Sciences Championship  
Judging Rubric - Performance**

Entrant:	
Entry Title:	
Branch:	Date:
Judge:	Judge's Email:
<p><u>Instructions for the Judges:</u>            *For each question, use a highlighter to mark any terms that apply to the entry/entrant.            *Then, circle the box in each row that indicates the point value you wish to assign for that question.            *Please do NOT use half points. They will be rounded down.            *Consult the Rubric Glossary if you have any questions on terms, definitions, and/or process.</p>	

<b>Presentation and Display:</b>					
<b>1. Oral Presentation:</b> How well did the entrant communicate their knowledge?					
	1	2	3	4	5
No oral presentation.	The entrant communicated essential knowledge of their topic.	The entrant communicated either breadth <b>or</b> depth of knowledge of the topic.	The entrant communicated both breadth <b>and</b> depth of knowledge of the topic.	The entrant clearly communicated both breadth <b>and</b> depth of knowledge and made connections to their sources.	The entrant clearly communicated both breadth <b>and</b> depth of knowledge and conveyed their own interpretations with backing from their sources.
<b>2. Questions:</b> During the question-and-answer session how well did the entrant elaborate on their knowledge?					
	1	2	3	4	5
No questions were answered.	The entrant was able to answer limited questions, but largely only with information already given in presentation and documentation.	The entrant was able to answer questions, and provided a couple of answers that elaborated on their oral presentation.	The entrant was comfortable answering questions and was able to provide additional information.	The entrant was comfortable answering questions and their responses showcased an extensive understanding of the topic.	The entrant's answers to questions demonstrated extraordinary fluency with their topic.
<b>3. Display:</b> How well did the display enhance the presentation and the audiences' understanding of the subject?					
	1	2	3	4	5
No display provided.	Display was present, but little to no information about the topic was conveyed.	Display connected the topic with the oral presentation.	Display connected the topic with the oral presentation and enhanced the oral presentation.	Display enhanced the presentation and the audiences' understanding of the topic.	Display thoroughly enhanced the presentation and the audiences' understanding of the topic.

**Kingdom Arts and Sciences Championship  
Judging Rubric - Performance**

<p><b>Historical Accuracy/Authenticity:</b> Describes how period accurate/authentic the performance is. The logical and reasonable substitution of modern items (such as instruments, clothing, or props) or methods will be considered when there are issues of safety, prohibitive cost, or unavailability. Performances that were performed by one gender historically may be reasonably substituted by another gender without penalty (this should be disclosed by the entrant).</p>					
<p><b>4. How well does the performance reflect the appropriate time period?</b></p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
No attempt made.	Performance is based in history, but does not relate to the entry's time period.	Performance is roughly an equal blend of modern and historical and is moving towards being authentic.	Performance may have slightly modern elements but is largely historical in execution.	Performance is historically accurate in execution to all but the most rigorous of inspections.	Performance would be perfectly at home in a historical context or indistinguishable from a period example.
<p><b>5. To what extent was the performance technique (such as accents, language, dance steps, musical nuance) appropriate to the time period (and/or deviations explained)?</b></p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
Only modern technique used with no explanation.	<b>A few</b> of the techniques used were historical or were reasonable substitutions.	<b>Some</b> of the techniques used were historical or were reasonable substitutions.	<b>Many</b> of the techniques used were historical or were reasonable substitutions.	<b>Most</b> of the techniques used were historical or were reasonable substitutions.	<b>All</b> the techniques used were historical or were reasonable substitutions.
<p><b>6. To what extent were period aesthetics addressed or displayed during the performance? Were period aesthetics (relevant to the culture, language, appropriate time period) discussed?</b></p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
No attempt made.	<b>A few</b> of the aesthetics demonstrated were period appropriate.	<b>Some</b> of the aesthetics demonstrated were period appropriate.	<b>Many</b> of the aesthetics demonstrated were period appropriate.	<b>Most</b> of the aesthetics demonstrated were period appropriate.	<b>All</b> the aesthetics demonstrated were period appropriate.
<p><b>7. How well does the Performer perform the piece? (Consider how the following items were performed historically: intonation, beat, memorization, sharpness, language, movement etc. )</b></p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
No attempt made.	The Performer demonstrated accuracy of the performance in a <b>few</b> areas of the piece.	The Performer demonstrated accuracy of the performance in <b>some</b> areas of the piece.	The Performer demonstrated accuracy of the performance in <b>many</b> areas of the piece.	The Performer demonstrated accuracy of the performance in <b>most</b> areas of the piece.	The Performer demonstrated accuracy of the performance in <b>all</b> areas of the piece.

**Kingdom Arts and Sciences Championship  
Judging Rubric - Performance**

<p><b>Technical Ability and Complexity:</b> Technical ability is the level of competency of the period appropriate skill set necessary to perform the entry. Complexity is the level of difficulty it took to perform in the historical time period and create/recreate the performance modernly, and how much time and effort went into preparing for the performance.</p>					
<p><b>8. Technical Ability:</b> Does the entrant understand and execute <b>techniques</b> appropriate to the historical culture and time period of the performance? What is the entrant's technical ability and how well are they executing the historical technique(s).</p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
Performance did not use appropriate historical techniques for the time and place of the entry.	Performance demonstrates <b>basic</b> technical ability appropriate to the culture and time period of the entry.	Performance demonstrates <b>emerging</b> technical ability appropriate to the culture and time period of the entry.	Performance demonstrates <b>proficient</b> technical ability appropriate to the culture and time period of the entry.	Performance demonstrates <b>advanced</b> technical ability appropriate to the culture and time period of the entry.	Performance demonstrates <b>distinguished</b> technical ability and execution appropriate to the culture and time period of the entry.
<p><b>9. Complexity (Difficulty):</b> What level of <b>complexity</b> does the performance represent within its genre/time/place? How <u>difficult</u> is it to perform the entry? (Focuses on skill level).</p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
The performance is not appropriate for the genre/time/place.	Only one historical technique with <b>minimal</b> learning was required to execute the performance.	The performance could be executed with <b>emerging</b> skill levels in the historical time/place.	The performance could be executed with <b>proficient</b> skill levels in the historical time/place.	The performance could only be executed with <b>advanced</b> skill levels in the historical time/place.	The performance could only be executed with <b>masterful</b> skill levels in the historical time/place.
<p><b>10. Complexity (Learning):</b> How challenging was it to <u>learn</u> the <b>historical-based techniques</b> in this performance? How challenging was the technique to learn and how many <b>historical-based techniques</b> were used during the performance? (Focuses on level of knowledge).</p>					
	1	2	3	4	5
The performance is not appropriate for the genre/time/place.	Only one historical technique with <b>minimal</b> learning is required to execute the performance.	One historical technique requiring <b>emerging</b> level knowledge <b>or</b> multiple techniques requiring <b>minimal</b> learning to execute the performance.	One historical technique requiring <b>proficient</b> level knowledge <b>or</b> multiple techniques requiring <b>minimal to emerging</b> learning to execute the performance.	One historical technique requiring <b>advanced</b> level knowledge <b>or</b> multiple techniques requiring <b>emergent to proficient</b> learning to execute the performance.	One historical technique requiring <b>masterful</b> level knowledge <b>or</b> multiple techniques requiring <b>proficient to advanced</b> learning to execute the performance.

**Kingdom Arts and Sciences Championship  
Judging Rubric - Performance**

**Documentation:** Documentation is the information for your entry that helps judges place your entry within the SCA time period, support the choices you made in items (instrument/clothing/props) or methods based on your research into the historical performance, and share what you learned through the process.

**\*Sources can include, but are not limited to peer reviewed articles, primary sources, oral histories/knowledge keepers interviews, books, published journal articles, websites, documentaries, paintings, and physical objects.**

**11. Organization:** Is the documentation well-organized and easy to follow? (Does the entrant cite sources and are those sources referenced clearly in the body of the documentation)?

	1	2	3	4	5
No documentation provided	Documentation present at any level.	Documentation present and includes information from at least one source. (See examples in the documentation description).	Documentation has clear sections and more than one source. Sources are cited and a list of those sources is provided.	Documentation is organized and understandable, with consistent citation and a works cited or bibliography.	Documentation is well organized and clearly understandable, with sources consistently cited, including in text citation and/or footnotes, and bibliography. Format should be consistent throughout the documentation.

**12. Research:** Does the documentation include multiple sources?

	1	2	3	4	5
No documentation provided.	Documentation does not contain a clear connection to provided sources or no sources were provided.	Documentation shows some connection to sources provided.	Documentation includes references to robust sources.	Documentation includes references to primary, secondary, and tertiary sources and provides some analysis of sources.	Documentation includes references to primary, secondary, and tertiary sources and provides solid analysis of sources and connection to entry.

**13. Connection between documentation and entry:** Is there a clear link between the research presented in the documentation and the entry being evaluated?

	1	2	3	4	5
No documentation provided.	Documentation does not contain a clear connection to the entry.	Documentation shows some connection between entry and research presented.	Documentation shows reasonable connection between entry and research presented.	Documentation is effectively used to support the entry.	All aspects of the finished entry are clearly and thoroughly supported by the documentation.

Score Total:

If this entry stands out to you and/or the entrant performed exceptionally, check here you like to recommend them to the crown for Kingdom Championship. Please retrieve and complete the KAS Champion Recommendation form from the Judge's room and turn it in with your judging forms.

**Note:** Only the written feedback form will be provided to the entrants, the KAS Champion Recommendation form and raw total scores will not be provided.